

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,912	08/27/2003	Edgar Weiss	60130-1791;02MRA0466	5064	
26096 7.	590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			PEDDER, DENNIS H		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAN	M, MI 48009		3612		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/648,912	WEISS ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)						
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	tion(a):					
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11,12 and 19</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 13-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			S			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Denni H	Polle			
		Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612	8/21/04			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 8272004

Continuation of 10. Other: Rejection of claims 13-17 in view of references to Ohtsu et al. in view of Otake et al. will not be maintained for appeal..